IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY

CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION.

CIVIL APPLICATION NO.30 OF 2007

IN

REVIEW PETITION [STAMP] NO.4283 OF 2004

IN

SECOND APPEAL NO.855 OF 2002

Smt.Usha Rangrao Rajendra : Petitioner.

versus

Smt.Minakshi Krishnarao

Tulshibagwale and ors. : Respondents.

Mr.N.V.Vechalekar i/by N.V.Vechalekar & Co. for the petitioner.

Mr.P.S.Dani for Respondent Nos.2 and 3.

CORAM : D.G.DESHPANDE

DATED : APRIL 24, 2007.

P.C. (IN CHAMBER).

1. This is an application filed by the

petitioner - original plaintiff No.1 for permission to file certain documents in support of her review petition. When matter had appeared before me earlier, it was suggested to the advocate for the petitioner that he should give a separate statement of documents which he now wants to file but which were the part of record of the trial court. He has accordingly filed the statement in that regard. Copy of which is also given to learned advocate Mr.Dani. According to that statement, there are in all eight documents. Excepting Documents B, G and H, all the documents are forming part of the record. But also looking to the documents B, G and H, this application is required to be allowed. Hence the following order :-

:ORDER:

Civil Application No.30 of 2007 for permission to file the documents in Review Petition is allowed in respect of those documents about which the statement is filed today by the advocate for the petitioner. They are A to H documents.

Statement of documents A to H is taken on record and marked "X" for identification.

Put up the Review Petition on 14th June 2007 at 2.35 in chamber.

Civil Application No.30 of 2007 is disposed of accordingly.

[D.G.DESHPANDE,J]